

Reviewed 12/26
Lt. Ron George

Reviewed 12/28
Commsr. Office

curtis
op. code: vigilante
12/22/2000

I'm not concerned. Now it's about
the weapon. Lt. G

10.30 A contact at the City Building Inspector's Office returned my phone call this morning. I had asked her to check into the property records and title deeds of the warehouse location I witnessed Tayton and Robbins visit last night. Most strange: the building is owned by William Cuthbert Robbins no less. Where does this little malcontent get the sort of currency a citizen requires to pay for a space like this? And the property and building taxes alone must run at nearly 50k a year. My contact informs me that the title papers indicate that Robbins purchased the space in 1998 – the cost was \$873,000.00. He paid with a cashier's check issued by Johns, Simms & Hopkins Merchant Banking group. This guy has to be (certifiably) linked to some type of money laundering operation or is subsidized by a lucrative criminal operation deploying him as some type of asset. My guess is that both suspects discharge criminal plans in the narcotic persuasion. It makes the most sense: they look and act stupid without any duress of mind. They dress up as bad Halloween caricatures to infiltrate youth circles, these techno nightclubs and probably strip clubs. People are inclined to think they are as stupid as they look. They are no physical threat so drug consumers would flock in their general direction. Their costumes make perfect sense when you think about how it disguises their true looks but also makes them so easily memorable. I can see that a criminal consortium would actually find this useful. This makes a lot of sense. I think, in all probability, that Robbins is given to multiple variations of criminal psychosis (especially when you think about the evidence pointing to his foul deeds written in his own hand in that journal).

11.43 I enter the suspect's warehouse through a basement door. As it was pitch-black with no electricity connections, it was hard to obtain a thorough overview of the premises so I had to rely on a flashlight. This didn't seem to matter because there is absolutely nothing in this space. It is spectacularly empty. 50,000 square feet of nothing. The upstairs floor is also empty. The one and only finding worth recording is that one of the walls looks as if it has been subject to some sort of burning process. There are huge blotches of the wall's naked brick texture that are blackened with a pattern not dissimilar to what those bogus crop-circles look like in fields out West. It looks as if someone took a blowtorch to various parts of the wall. The blotches also vary in size – from large to small. Upon closer inspection, there are dates chalked onto the wall next to the burns. The smaller blotches have been dated with various dates starting at the beginning of 1999. The date next to the largest blotch is only two weeks ago. I wonder if these two scums have been experimenting with some type of flame device. I certainly know of several such devices from my time in the military – the big blotches looks as if they might have been caused by a Torch Relay Device (a 703). We could fry an Iraqi soldier (or civilian) from 100 yards with one of these beauties. Where did Tayton and Robbins get this sort of hardware? The device that I witnessed last night in the hands of Robbins (and I'm now sure it was some type of weapon),

Good
work.
Good
evidence
of use of
his
weapon.

curtis
op. code: vigilante
12/22/2000

plus this new evidence of a sophisticated torch weapon, lead me to believe that the suspects have somehow obtained some pretty heavy stuff from somewhere or someone. We have to find it. And we have to find it soon before law enforcement in this city is seriously impaired. I am strongly tempted to conclude that this hardware I have observed or have witnessed signs of (in the case of the blackened walls) was either given to Tayton and Robbins by their criminal associates or was purchased with funds obtained from compliance with these criminal associates. The purchase of the warehouse building, does indeed, seem very mysterious in terms of who paid for it in reality and what will it be used for.

Yes: You are probably right. There has to be a criminal association going on somewhere between these two. Lt. G